

Remarks

In the Restriction Requirement, the Patent Office has determined that the application contains two distinct inventions. The Applicant has elected the claims of group I, namely Claims 1-32 and 37-55. The applicant has amended claims 34-37 and cancelled claim 33 with this amendment. In particular, claim 37 has been rewritten in independent form in view of the restriction requirement. Accordingly, amended claim 37 is not narrower in scope than the previously pending claim 37. Claims 34-36 have been amended to direct or indirectly depend on claim 37. As a result thereof, the Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 34-36 should also be examined with the claims of group I.

CONCLUSION

The Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 34-36 should be examined with claims 1-32, and 37-55. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at 858-456-1951 for any reason that would advance the instant application to issue. Any additional extension of time required for the timely submission of this paper, the fees for which have not been previously paid, is hereby petitioned and requested.

Respectfully submitted,



STEVEN G. ROEDER  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 37,227

The Law Office of Steven G. Roeder  
5560 Chelsea Avenue  
La Jolla, California 92037  
Telephone: (858) 456-1951